

### SCIENTIFIC EXAMINATION REPORT

DR NO. 2020715655 Page 1 of 4

**AGENCY** Yuma County Sheriff's Office

Yuma, AZ 85364

**AGENCY NO.** 202070132

**OFFICER** HEMSTREET, #1362 **DATE** January 06, 2021

NAME(S) FUENTES, GUILLERMINA



## **EXAMINATION REQUESTED**

**Latent Prints** 

#### **ITEMS**

1--33, 35, 36, 38--40. Thirty-eight (38) ballot envelopes each containing one (1) ballot (items 1A--33A, 35A, 36A, 38A--40A)

47. One (1) inked fingerprint card and two (2) inked palm print cards bearing the name Guillermina Fuentes, DOB 5/19/1956

## RESULTS / INTERPRETATIONS

Items 1, 2, 3, 5, 7--9, 10, 11, 14--18, 20, 22, 23, 25, 27--32, 35, and 39 were processed with latent prints of value for comparison developed. Items 4, 6, 12, 13, 19, 21, 24, 26, 33, 36, 38 and 40 were processed with no latent prints of value for comparison developed.

One hundred eighteen (118) latent prints were compared to the fingerprint and palm print records of Fuentes, submitted as item 47.

The following latent prints were excluded as having been made by Fuentes:

One (1) latent print on Item 1A, ballot;

Four (4) latent prints on Item 3A, ballot;

One (1) latent print on Item 7, ballot envelope;

One (1) latent print on Item 8A, ballot;

One (1) latent print on Item 14, ballot envelope;

KYLIE TREBES, #7259, Forensic Scientist

Kylie Trebes

Western Regional Crime Laboratory

Accredited by the ANSI National Accreditation Board (ANAB) to International Standards



### SCIENTIFIC EXAMINATION REPORT

DR NO. 2020715655 Page 2 of 4

- Four (4) latent prints on Item 14A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 15, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 15A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 16A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 17, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 22, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 23A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 25, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 29, ballot envelope;
- Two (2) latent prints on Item 29A, ballot;
- Two (2) latent prints on Item 32A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 35, ballot envelope;
- Three (3) latent prints on Item 39, ballot envelope; and
- Five (5) latent prints on Item 39A, ballot.

Comparisons of the following latent prints were inconclusive due to insufficient detail in the known prints of Fuentes:

- Two (2) latent prints on Item 3, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 10A, ballot;
- Two (2) latent prints on Item 11, ballot envelope;
- Two (2) latent prints on Item 14A, ballot;
- Three (3) latent prints on Item 15A, ballot;
- Two (2) latent prints on Item 18, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 20, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 27, ballot envelope;
- One (1) latent print on Item 31A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 32A, ballot;
- One (1) latent print on Item 35, ballot envelope; and
- One (1) latent print on Item 39A, ballot.

Comparisons of the following latent prints were inconclusive due to insufficient detail in the latent and

KYLIE TREBES, #7259, Forensic Scientist

Western Regional Crime Laboratory

Kylie Trebes



### SCIENTIFIC EXAMINATION REPORT

DR NO. 2020715655 Page 3 of 4

in the known prints of Fuentes:

Two (2) latent prints on Item 2, ballot envelope;

Three (3) latent prints on Item 3, ballot envelope;

Five (5) latent prints on Item 3A, ballot;

Four (4) latent prints on Item 5, ballot envelope;

Three (3) latent prints on Item 5A, ballot;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 8A, ballot;

Three (3) latent prints on Item 9A, ballot;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 11, ballot envelope;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 11A, ballot;

Three (3) latent prints on Item 14, ballot envelope;

Ten (10) latent prints on Item 14A, ballot;

One (1) latent print on Item 15, ballot envelope;

Four (4) latent prints on Item 15A, ballot;

One (1) latent print on Item 16A, ballot;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 22, ballot envelope;

One (1) latent print on Item 23A, ballot;

One (1) latent print on Item 27, ballot envelope;

One (1) latent print on Item 28, ballot envelope;

Three (3) latent prints on Item 29, ballot envelope;

Three (3) latent prints on Item 29A, ballot;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 30A, ballot;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 31A, ballot;

One (1) latent print on Item 32, ballot envelope;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 32A, ballot;

Two (2) latent prints on Item 39, ballot envelope; and

Two (2) latent prints on Item 39A, ballot.

Additional latent prints were not compared due to insufficient detail.

Please submit better quality fingerprints, including joints of fingers, and palm prints of Fuentes for comparison.

KYLIE TREBES, #7259, Forensic Scientist

Western Regional Crime Laboratory

Kylie Trebes

Accredited by the ANSI National Accreditation Board (ANAB) to International Standards



## SCIENTIFIC EXAMINATION REPORT

DR NO. 2020715655 Page 4 of 4

Items 34 and 37 were received but not analyzed. These items have been previously processed for latent prints.

Kylie Trebes

KYLIE TREBES, #7259, Forensic Scientist Western Regional Crime Laboratory

Accredited by the ANSI National Accreditation Board (ANAB) to International Standards